

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|                         |                 |                      |                         | 1                |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
| 10/609,405              | 07/01/2003      | Yvon Bedard          | 086169-0304323          | 9428             |  |
| 909                     | 7590 07/28/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP |                 |                      | HURLEY, KEVIN           |                  |  |
| P.O. BOX 10             | 500             |                      |                         |                  |  |
| MCLEAN, VA 22102        |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                         |                 |                      | 3611                    |                  |  |
|                         |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | $\neq$                                       |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany   | 10/609,405   | BEDARD ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   | $\overline{\ \ }$                            |  |  |  |  |
|   | Kevin Hurley   | 3611   | <u>)                                    </u> |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | '  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | nce except for formal matters, pro   | secution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | caminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | or the continued copied not receive  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail D   | ate  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 5) Notice of Informal F  | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  | , —  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ad  | ction Summary Pa   | art of Paper No./Mail Date 20040723  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 11 June 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 15-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

  Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11 June 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "type" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "type"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

In claim 14 it is unclear if the "lower front leg support" is the same one recited in claim 1.

Art Unit: 3611

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bedard et al.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Bedard et al. discloses a snowmobile comprising:

a frame 2; a motor supported by the frame; an endless drive track 15 supported by the frame and operatively connected to the motor; a seat supported by the frame; two steering skis supported by the frame; a side panel 22 connected to the frame, the side panel having a lower leg support 34 that is constructed and arranged to support a snowmobile rider's lower leg; the side panel is connected to the frame for relative pivotal movement about a side panel axis, and the side panel has open and closed positions relative to the frame, wherein a side of the motor is accessible when in its open position, wherein the side panel covers a side of the motor when in

Art Unit: 3611

its closed position, wherein the lower leg support is rigidly supported by the frame such that lower leg forces exerted on the side panel by the snowmobile rider are transferred to the frame through the side panel, wherein the side panel has a knee rest 28b that is constructed and arranged to support the snowmobile rider's knee, wherein the side panel has a lower front leg support that is constructed and arranged to support a lower front portion of the snowmobile rider's leg.

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7-12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose snowmobile body structures.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Hurley whose telephone number is 703-308-0233. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,405

Art Unit: 3611

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Hurley Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611

July 23, 2004